Supplementary Material for "Estimation of component reliability from superposed renewal processes by means of latent variables"

Rodrigues, Agatha S. (Department of Statistics, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Vitoria, ES, Brazil) - agatha.rodrigues@ufes.br Kerschke, Pascal (Information Systems and Statistics, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany) Pereira, Carlos A. de B. (Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) Trautmann, Heike (Information Systems and Statistics, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany) Wagner, Carolin (Information Systems and Supply Chain Management, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany Hellingrath, Bernd (Information Systems and Supply Chain Management, University of Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany Polpo, Adriano (Western Australia University, Perth, Australia.)

Journal: Computational Statistics

This supplementary material was built to assist the content of the article "Estimation of component reliability from superposed renewal processes by means of latent variables". Section 1 presents the distribution of the latent indicator vector (d) conditional to the observed data (\mathcal{T}) in a case that there are five failures ($r_i = 5$). Section 2 presents the study of the number of Monte Carlo samples in the EM-algorithm.

1 Conditional distribution of d for $r_i = 5$

For a fixed $i, f(d_i | \mathcal{T}_i)$ can be written as

$$f(\boldsymbol{d}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}) = f(d_{1i}, d_{2i}, \dots, d_{r_{i}i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i})$$

= $f(d_{r_{i}i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{(r_{i}-1)i}, d_{(r_{i}-2)i}, \dots, d_{2i}, d_{1i}) f(d_{(r_{i}-1)i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{(r_{i}-2)i}, \dots, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$
 $\times \dots \times f(d_{2i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i}) f(d_{1i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}).$ (1)

The conditional distribution of d given \mathcal{T} is presented in (1) for any number of failures $r_i \geq 1$. In order to present the idea, consider a particular case with $r_i = 5$ as an example. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_i = (t_{1i}, t_{2i}, t_{3i}, t_{4i}, t_{5i}, \tau_i)$ and

$$f(\mathbf{d}_{i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}) = f(d_{1i}, d_{2i}, d_{3i}, d_{4i}, d_{5i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i})$$

= $f(d_{5i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{4i}, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i}) f(d_{4i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$
 $f(d_{3i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i}) f(d_{2i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i}) f(d_{1i} \mid \mathbf{\mathcal{T}}_{i}).$ (2)

One comment is important to point: when we develop the distribution of d_{vi} conditional to the distribution of the indicator of the socket that each previous failure occurred, that is, conditional to $(d_{(v-1)i}, d_{(v-1)i}, \ldots, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$, with $v = 2, \ldots, m$, one only needs to worry about the number of sockets that the previous failures occurred and the index of the last failure that occurred in each socket that had failure, regardless the socket index, because we are assuming the lifetime distributions of the components at the sockets are i.i.d.

The development of each term of the right side of the Equation (2) is presented in the following.

1.1 The conditional density function $f(d_{1i} | \boldsymbol{T}_i)$

Under i.i.d assumption, the distribution of $d_{1i} = j \mid \mathcal{T}_i$ follows a Multinomial distribution, $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{1i})$, with $\mathbf{p}_{1i} = (p_{11i}, \ldots, p_{1mi})$ and $p_{1ji} = 1/m$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Note that in this case, the multinomial distribution equals a discrete uniform distribution.

1.2 The conditional density function $f(d_{2i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{1i})$

The distribution of d_{2i} conditional to the socket that first failure occurred, say at the socket j (any $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$), can be described as follows:

$$f(d_{2i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{1i} = j) \propto [f(t_{2i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{2i} = j)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j}^m [f(t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{2i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{2i} \mid (\mathbf{t}_i, d_{1i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{2i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{2i} = (p_{21i}, \dots, p_{2mi})$, $p_{2ji} = f(t_{2i} - t_{1i})/C$ and $p_{2li} = f(t_{2i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j$, with $C = f(t_{2i} - t_{1i}) + (m-1)f(t_{2i})$.

1.3 The conditional density function $f(d_{3i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$

Now we need to specify the distribution of d_{3i} conditional to the values assumed by d_{2i} and d_{1i} . For that, one has to consider the following two situations (Figure 1): 1) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second failure also occurs at the socket j (Figure 1a); and 2) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q (Figure 1b), with $j \neq q, j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $q = 1, \ldots, m$.



Figure 1: The two possible situations of how two failures can occur in the sockets.

So, depending on each situation, the conditional distribution of d_{3i} is given by:

• Situation 1: distribution of $d_{3i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j)$:

$$f(d_{3i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j) \propto [f(t_{3i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{3i} = j)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j}^m [f(t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{3i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{3i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{3i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{3i} = (p_{31i}, \ldots, p_{3mi}), p_{3ji} = f(t_{3i} - t_{2i})/C$ and $p_{3li} = f(t_{3i})/C, l = 1, \ldots, m$ and $l \neq j$, with $C = f(t_{3i} - t_{2i}) + (m - 1)f(t_{3i})$.

• Situation 2: distribution of $d_{3i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{3i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q) \propto [f(t_{3i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{3i} = j)} [f(t_{3i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{3i} = q)} \times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{3i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{3i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{3i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{3i} = (p_{31i}, \ldots, p_{3mi}), p_{3ji} = f(t_{3i} - t_{1i})/C, p_{3qi} = f(t_{3i} - t_{2i})/C$ and $p_{3li} = f(t_{3i})/C, l = 1, \ldots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{3i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{3i} - t_{2i}) + (m-2)f(t_{3i}).$

1.4 The conditional density function $f(d_{4i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$

To specify the distribution of d_{4i} conditional to the values assumed by d_{3i} , d_{2i} and d_{1i} , one has to consider the five situations of how three failures can occur up to three sockets (regardless of which sockets they are), illustrated at Figure 2. They are: 1) all three failures occur at a same socket, say at the socket j (Figure 2a); 2) the first and the second failures occur at a same socket, say at the socket j, and the third failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, with $j \neq q$ (Figure 2b); 3) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first failure (Figure 2c); 4) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the second failure (Figure 2d); 5) each failure occurs in three different sockets (Figure 2e), say that the first failure occurs at socket j, the second occurs at socket q and third failure occurs at socket k, with $j \neq q \neq k$, $j = 1, \ldots, m, q = 1, \ldots, m$ and $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

So, depending on each situation, the conditional distribution of d_{4i} is given by:

• Situation 1: distribution of $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j)$:

$$f(d_{4i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j) \propto [f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = j)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j}^m [f(t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = l)}$$

that is, $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{4i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{4i} = (p_{41i}, \dots, p_{4mi}), p_{4ji} = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{4li} = f(t_{4i})/C,$ $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j$, with $C = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i}) + (m-1)f(t_{4i})$.

• Situation 2: distribution of $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{4i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q) \propto [f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = j)} [f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = q)} \times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = l)},$$



Figure 2: The five possible situations of how three failures can occur in the sockets.

that is, $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{4i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{4i} = (p_{41i}, \dots, p_{4mi}), p_{4ji} = f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})/C, p_{4qi} = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{4li} = f(t_{4i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{4i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{4i} - t_{3i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{4i})$.

• Situation 3: distribution of $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} q \neq j: \\ f(d_{4i} \mid \mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j) \propto & [f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = j)} [f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = q)} \\ & \times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^m [f(t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = l)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{4i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{4i} = (p_{41i}, \dots, p_{4mi}), p_{4ji} = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})/C, p_{4qi} = f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})/C$ and $p_{4li} = f(t_{4i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{4i} - t_{2i}) + (m-2)f(t_{4i})$.

• Situation 4: distribution of $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{4i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q) \propto [f(t_{4i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = j)} [f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = q)} \times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{4i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{4i} = (p_{41i}, \dots, p_{4mi}), p_{4ji} = f(t_{4i} - t_{1i})/C, p_{4qi} = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{4li} = f(t_{4i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{4i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{4i} - t_{3i}) + (m-2)f(t_{4i})$.

• Situation 5: distribution of $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{4i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q) \propto [f(t_{4i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = j)} [f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = q)} \times [f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{4i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{4i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{4i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{4i} = (p_{41i}, \dots, p_{4mi}), p_{4ji} = f(t_{4i} - t_{1i})/C, p_{4qi} = f(t_{4i} - t_{2i})/C,$ $p_{4ki} = f(t_{4i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{4li} = f(t_{4i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{4i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{4i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{4i} - t_{3i}) + (m - 3)f(t_{4i}).$

Since the socket index does not matter (we have the i.i.d. assumption), the situations 2 and 3 present the same contribution for the conditional distribution of $f(d_{4i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$.

1.5 The conditional density function $f(d_{5i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_i, d_{4i}, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$

To specify the distribution of d_{5i} conditional to the values assumed by d_{4i} , d_{3i} , d_{2i} and d_{1i} , one has to consider the fifteen situations of how four failures can occur up to four sockets (regardless of which sockets they are), illustrated at Figures 3 and 4. They are: 1) all four failures occur at the same socket, say at the socket j (Figure 3a); 2) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third and fourth failures occur at the same socket that occurred the first failure (Figure 3b); 3) the first and the second failures occur at a same socket, say at the socket j, and the third failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and fourth failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first and second failures (Figure 3c); 4) the first, the second and the third failures occur at a same socket, say at the socket j, and the fourth failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q (Figure 3d); 5) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the remaining failures occur in a different socket, say at the socket q (Figure 3e); 6) the first and the second failures occur at the same socket, say at the socket j, and the third and the fourth failures occur in a different socket, say at the socket q (Figure 3f); 7) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first failure and the fourth failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the second failure (Figure 3g); 8) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say socket j, and the second and the third failures occur in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the fourth failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first failure (Figure 3h); 9) the first and the second failures occur at the same socket, say at the socket j, and the third failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the fourth failure occurs in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k (Figure 4a); 10) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first failure and the fourth failure occurs in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k (Figure 4b); 11) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k, and the fourth failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the first failure (Figure 4c); 12) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second and the third failures occur in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the fourth failure occurs in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k (Figure 4d); 13) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure occurs in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k, and the fourth failure occurs at the same socket that occurred the second failure (Figure 4e); 14) the first failure occurs at a given socket, say at the socket j, and the second failure occurs in a different socket, say at the socket q, and the third failure and fourth failures occur in a socket that there is no previous failure, say at the socket k (Figure 4f); 15) each failure occurs in four different sockets (Figure 4g), say that the first failure occurs at socket j, the second failure occurs at the socket q, the third failure occurs at socket k and the fourth failure occurs at the socket o, with $j \neq q \neq k \neq o$, $j = 1, \ldots, m, q = 1, \ldots, m, k = 1, \ldots, m$ and $o = 1, \ldots, m$.

So, depending on each situation, the conditional distribution of d_{5i} is given by:

Situation 1: distribution of
$$d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j)$$
:
 $f(d_{5i} \mid \mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)}$
 $\times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j}^m [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m-1)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 2: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 3: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i}).$

• Situation 4: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i}).$

• Situation 5: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 6: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i})$. • Situation 7: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 8: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j)$, with $q \neq j$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi}), p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C, p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + (m - 2)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 9: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = j, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 10: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = k)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = k) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathbf{j})} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathbf{q})} [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathbf{k})} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathbf{l})},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = j, d_{4i} = k)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 11: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = j)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = j) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = j)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 12: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = q, d_{4i} = k)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 13: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = q)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = q) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = q)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$. • Situation 14: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = k)$, with $q \neq j \neq k$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = k) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = k)} \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = l)},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = k)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m-3)f(t_{5i})$.

• Situation 15: distribution of $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = o)$, with $q \neq j \neq k \neq o$:

$$f(d_{5i} \mid \mathcal{T}_{i}, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = o) \propto [f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = j)} \\ \times [f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = q)} [f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathrm{k})} [f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathrm{o})} \\ \times \prod_{l=1; l \neq j, q, k, o}^{m} [f(t_{5i})]^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{d}_{5i} = \mathrm{l})},$$

that is, $d_{5i} \mid (\mathcal{T}_i, d_{1i} = j, d_{2i} = q, d_{3i} = k, d_{4i} = o)$ follows $Multin(1, \mathbf{p}_{5i})$, in which $\mathbf{p}_{5i} = (p_{51i}, \dots, p_{5mi})$, $p_{5ji} = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i})/C$, $p_{5qi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{2i})/C$, $p_{5ki} = f(t_{5i} - t_{3i})/C$, $p_{5oi} = f(t_{5i} - t_{4i})/C$ and $p_{5li} = f(t_{5i})/C$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $l \neq j, q, k, o$, with $C = f(t_{5i} - t_{1i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{2i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{3i}) + f(t_{5i} - t_{4i}) + (m - 4)f(t_{5i})$.

Since the socket index does not matter (we have the i.i.d. assumption), the situations 2 and 6, the situations 3, 4, 7 and 8, the situations 9, 10 and 11 and the situations 12 and 13 present the same contribution for the conditional distribution of $f(d_{5i} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}, d_{4i}, d_{3i}, d_{2i}, d_{1i})$.



Figure 3: The fifteen possible situations of how four failures can occur in the sockets - 1 to 8.



Figure 4: The fifteen possible situations of how four failures can occur in the sockets - 9 to 15.

2 Study of the number of Monte Carlo sample

In order to study the impact of the number of Monte Carlo sample on the maximum likelihood estimator via EM algorithm (EM-ML), we conducted the simulations for all combinations of the following features: $n \in$ $\{10, 50, 100, 200\}$, $m \in \{4, 8, 16, 32\}$, and $m_c \in \{4, 8\}$, resulting in 32 scenarios, in which n is the sample size, m is the number of sockets, and m_c is censoring mean lifetime. For more details about how dataset are generated can be found at Algorithm 2 in the manuscript.

For each scenario, a dataset was generated, and we compare the mean absolute error (MAE) from the estimator resulting from EM-ML to the true distribution. We consider 19 values of Monte Carlo sample, from L = 100 to L =1000 with a gap of 50 units, that is, $L \in \{100, 150, 200, \dots, 900, 950, 1000\}$.

As one can see in Tables 1 and 2 for $m_c = 4$, and in Tables 3 and 4 for $m_c = 8$, the MAE value is practically the same regardless of the value of L for all scenarios considered. For this reason, we choose L = 100.

n = 10L values m = 16m = 32m = 4m = 8100 0.039017419 0.097054958 0.1755007340.0282618521500.0970549580.1755007340.0413071280.028831198 2000.097054958 0.1755007340.041169920 0.028717485 2500.0970549580.1755007340.039930794 0.028359780 300 0.0970549580.1755007340.041254209 0.028374573 3500.097054958 0.175500734 0.041829177 0.028333045 4000.097054958 0.1755007340.0425209350.028383278 4500.0970549580.1755007340.041046871 0.028050760 0.175500734 0.0406776525000.097054958 0.027863253 5500.097054958 0.1755007340.041160202 0.028546671600 0.0970549580.1755007340.042929532 0.028773057 650 0.097054958 0.1755007340.0406361380.028894523 7000.097054958 0.1755007340.041199316 0.028191647 7500.097054958 0.1755007340.0421684130.028594575 800 0.097054958 0.1755007340.042168460 0.028466352 850 0.0970549580.1755007340.040922980 0.028375690 900 0.0970549580.1755007340.041212946 0.028320464 950 0.097054958 0.1755007340.041324984 0.028865522 1000 0.097054958 0.1755007340.040823293 0.028215566 n = 50m = 32L values m = 4m = 8m = 161000.052503099 0.026746586 0.071195075 0.030188679 1500.070917475 0.031274096 0.0524843520.0271694782000.053104306 0.027155158 0.070820876 0.031520007 2500.052648328 0.028089157 0.071161903 0.031300216 300 0.053291119 0.026710387 0.070967350 0.031454286 3500.052314070 0.0273621810.0709255350.031315114 4000.052699837 0.026725353 0.070944947 0.031237881 4500.052854499 0.027266589 0.070850462 0.031252745 0.053047834 5000.027209117 0.070941087 0.031160991 5500.0525795830.026948179 0.0709315250.031308010 600 0.052795520 0.027135105 0.070882863 0.031299577 6500.053333066 0.027405817 0.071030530 0.031359314 7000.0522538450.027100874 0.070852965 0.031320288 7500.0529508620.027200043 0.070875773 0.031192603 800 0.053211232 0.027326351 0.070891527 0.031334819 8500.053364006 0.027208229 0.070795752 0.031397174 900 0.052755141 0.0271531710.070705550 0.031139484 950 0.052739592 0.027362893 0.070992356 0.031270248 1000 0.052739873 0.026895496 0.071079454 0.031334819

Table 1: MAE obtained for different values of L by considering $m_c = 4$ and the sample sizes n = 10 and n = 50.

		n=100		
L values	m = 4	m = 8	m = 16	m = 32
100	0.022839142	0.014172490	0.057617283	0.054777789
150	0.023216644	0.014246455	0.057392279	0.054872264
200	0.023502981	0.014523670	0.057753581	0.054999646
250	0.023754074	0.014201453	0.057617669	0.054802554
300	0.023559074	0.014320741	0.057553859	0.054862170
350	0.023578610	0.014242770	0.057668731	0.054738523
400	0.023347806	0.014268691	0.057623194	0.054983885
450	0.023444192	0.014186468	0.057623194	0.054880744
500	0.023426798	0.014364931	0.057665162	0.054930038
550	0.023540226	0.014316386	0.057530328	0.054684366
600	0.023589652	0.014277114	0.057688006	0.054942658
650	0.023393762	0.014371262	0.057567588	0.054858216
700	0.023549651	0.014304628	0.057561496	0.054833598
750	0.023670828	0.014266177	0.057607110	0.054852143
800	0.023583018	0.014327204	0.057509242	0.054788753
850	0.023724339	0.014349209	0.057621745	0.054894436
900	0.023464710	0.014268768	0.057513417	0.054846108
950	0.023725148	0.014302161	0.057621745	0.054770109
1000	0.023612624	0.014422488	0.057668731	0.054880459
		n=200		
L values	m = 4	m = 8	m = 16	m = 32
100	0.068695347	0.049739792	0.040244256	0.051742457
150	0.068562170	0.049702862	0.040017403	0.051670821
200	0.068780031	0.049392601	0.040054197	0.051536128
250	0.068619219	0.049449440	0.040339403	0.051700190
300	0.068745562	0.049509093	0.040110908	0.051700190
350	0.068455005	0.049468688	0.040035246	0.051616674
400	0.068745656	0.049566532	0.040067145	0.051615889
450	0.068570272	0.049617624	0.040127401	0.051615889
500	0.068618198	0.049565727	0.039979232	0.051655221
550	0.068658518	0.049593786	0.040110908	0.051716213
600	0.068706245	0.049606438	0.040099271	0.051615889
650	0.068472913	0.049628929	0.040099271	0.051716213
700	0.068814244	0.049476902	0.040109573	0.051716213
750	0.068707035	0.049628929	0.040032661	0.051648285
800			0 040214856	0.051750504
800	0.068685085	0.049364919	0.040214000	0.00170004
800 850	$\begin{array}{c} 0.068685085\\ 0.068690163\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.049364919 \\ 0.049430072 \end{array}$	0.040214050 0.039974270	0.051750504 0.051652866
850 900	$\begin{array}{c} 0.068685085\\ 0.068690163\\ 0.068707758\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.049364919\\ 0.049430072\\ 0.049537663\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040214030\\ 0.039974270\\ 0.040099271 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051750504 \\ 0.051652866 \\ 0.051615889 \end{array}$
850 900 950	$\begin{array}{c} 0.068685085\\ 0.068690163\\ 0.068707758\\ 0.068701848 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.049364919\\ 0.049430072\\ 0.049537663\\ 0.049393938 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040214850\\ 0.039974270\\ 0.040099271\\ 0.040148613 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.051750504\\ 0.051652866\\ 0.051615889\\ 0.051655640 \end{array}$

Table 2: MAE obtained for different values of L by considering $m_c = 4$ and the sample sizes n = 100 and n = 200.

n=10 L values m = 16m = 32m = 4m = 8100 0.019414837 0.0261547450.055121580 0.016789957 1500.0550827420.0168600550.0204633810.026567874 2000.054444113 0.015864028 0.018835251 0.026462550 2500.055069380 0.016713569 0.019871740 0.026594106 300 0.0544850730.0163678500.019853507 0.026738133 3500.055189594 0.0175874520.0194483850.026237730 4000.055019006 0.015934872 0.019920420 0.026875568 4500.0547131860.016490724 0.019934674 0.026280418 5000.055189288 0.016273133 0.019732631 0.026681412 5500.054836997 0.016014315 0.019825123 0.026455151 600 0.0548580700.016428943 0.019595581 0.026533181 650 0.054995108 0.0164288950.019664885 0.026564603 7000.054629365 0.016489076 0.019735842 0.026029188 7500.054856907 0.016709172 0.019832785 0.026806468 800 0.054775048 0.016788874 0.0195215860.026574314 850 0.0550633530.0166865730.0199037850.026610019 900 0.054661334 0.0166513620.019802436 0.026478461950 0.054942532 0.016801348 0.019524561 0.026358301 1000 0.054737599 0.016525393 0.019627528 0.026316266 n = 50m = 32L values m = 4m = 8m = 161000.012389634 0.014378597 0.016346480 0.005987124 1500.012845588 0.016044449 0.013487204 0.006514487 2000.012529827 0.013781535 0.016310423 0.006309129 2500.012643199 0.013410669 0.016438114 0.006138570 300 0.012626224 0.014027554 0.016211230 0.006317077 3500.012565908 0.013624478 0.0161067880.006408499 4000.012497260 0.0135829250.016144317 0.006228219 4500.012549399 0.013530021 0.016143322 0.006086537 5000.012367086 0.013401940 0.0161418580.006349109 5500.012478901 0.0137355230.016148057 0.006133311 600 0.012575963 0.013727710 0.015924829 0.006150113 6500.012406790 0.013679476 0.015832550 0.006291790 7000.012361329 0.013702765 0.016065679 0.006370769 7500.012591359 0.0135816350.0160562270.006241175 800 0.012627465 0.013626546 0.006344578 0.016348414 850 0.012589741 0.013679997 0.016154883 0.006315521 900 0.012400539 0.013581913 0.0161442240.006270848 0.012714286 0.013548530 950 0.016227546 0.006106073 0.012525310 0.013373894 1000 0.015997688 0,006328456

Table 3: MAE obtained for different values of L by considering $m_c = 8$ and the sample sizes n = 10 and n = 50.

		n=100				
L values	m = 4	m = 8	m = 16	m = 32		
100	0.017478142	0.023298946	0.011639431	0.007226465		
150	0.017504665	0.023831008	0.011615005	0.007020751		
200	0.017347558	0.023896156	0.011965275	0.007119573		
250	0.017055132	0.023697194	0.011933227	0.007241848		
300	0.017297420	0.023730095	0.011731473	0.007061526		
350	0.017517888	0.023597261	0.011820039	0.007203191		
400	0.017479327	0.023599011	0.011677016	0.007240931		
450	0.017317435	0.023874208	0.011614493	0.007141878		
500	0.017059635	0.023509867	0.011812953	0.007206306		
550	0.017072872	0.024041694	0.011687009	0.007202986		
600	0.017148568	0.023595875	0.011809882	0.007229320		
650	0.017190565	0.023723113	0.011641495	0.007084835		
700	0.017188677	0.023643264	0.011745399	0.007153466		
750	0.017168542	0.023465625	0.011816846	0.007170712		
800	0.017249045	0.023787240	0.011667448	0.007224234		
850	0.017385527	0.023708325	0.011784952	0.007184772		
900	0.017383595	0.023508001	0.011732255	0.007203166		
950	0.017193765	0.023460047	0.011758893	0.007148787		
1000	0.017230682	0.023610863	0.011769666	0.007163527		
n=200						
L values	m = 4	m = 8	m = 16	m = 32		
100	0.009805856	0.008268677	0.009024516	0.007869445		
150	0.010201032	0.008359005	0.008951202	0.007902837		
200	0.009806975	0.008283252	0.009280869	0.007889820		
250	0.009733988	0.008287597	0.009163627	0.007768234		
300	0.009604532	0.008237118	0.009182839	0.007852535		
350	0.009617489	0.008294073	0.009226847	0.007886357		
400	0.009839392	0.008272793	0.009203195	0.007829124		
450	0.009801435	0.008273763	0.009196935	0.007859767		
500	0.009811608	0.008268882	0.009301848	0.007897819		
550	0.009796365	0.008308688	0.009194947	0.007747985		
600	0.009628443	0.008306949	0.009229040	0.007822580		
650	0.009646638	0.008270202	0.009222904	0.007815548		
700	0.009464440	0.008293359	0.009288022	0.007798927		
750	0.009617270	0.008279542	0.009215189	0.007807101		
800	0.009672819	0.008311923	0.009211411	0.007888251		
850	0.009746441	0.008296962	0.009151720	0.007820409		
900	0 000712770	0 008281540	0.009284245	0 007810474		
	0.009715779	0.000201049	0.005204240	0.001010414		
950	0.009713779 0.009719215	0.008281549 0.008283652	0.009285797	0.007802866		

Table 4: MAE obtained for different values of L by considering $m_c = 8$ and the sample sizes n = 100 and n = 200.