

# Writing for Computer Science

---

Springer-Verlag London Ltd.

Justin Zobel

---

# Writing for Computer Science

Second Edition



Springer

Justin Zobel  
School of Computer Science and Information Technology, RMIT University, Melbourne,  
Australia

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Zobel, Justin

Writing for computer science. - 2nd ed.

1. Technical writing 2. Computer science - Authorship

I. Title

808'.066004

ISBN 978-1-85233-802-2

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Zobel, Justin, 1963-

Writing for computer science / Justin Zobel. -- 2nd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-85233-802-2

ISBN 978-0-85729-422-7 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-0-85729-422-7

1. Technical writing. 2. Communication of technical information. I. Title

T11.Z62 2004

808'.0666--dc22

2004042550

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

ISBN 981-3083-22-0 1st edition

[springeronline.com](http://springeronline.com)

© Springer-Verlag London 2004

Originally published by Springer-Verlag London Limited in 2004

The use of registered names, trademarks etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

34/3830-543210 Printed on acid-free paper SPIN 10945148

# Preface

*Writing for Computer Science* is an introduction to doing and describing research. For the most part the book is a discussion of good writing style and effective research strategies. Some of the material is accepted wisdom, some is controversial, and some is my opinions. Although the book is brief, it is designed to be comprehensive: some readers may be interested in exploring topics further, but for most readers this book should be sufficient.

The first edition of this book was almost entirely about writing. This edition, partly in response to reader feedback and partly in response to issues that arose in my own experiences as an advisor, researcher, and referee, is also about research methods. Indeed, the two topics—writing about and doing research—are not clearly separated. It is a small step from asking *how do I write?* to asking *what is it that I write about?*

As previously, the guidance on writing focuses on research, but much of the material is applicable to general technical and professional communication. Likewise, the guidance on the practice of research has broader lessons. A practitioner trying a new algorithm or explaining to colleagues why one solution is preferable to another should be confident that the arguments are built on robust foundations. And, while this edition has a stronger emphasis on research than did the first, nothing has been deleted; there is additional material on research, but the guidance on writing has not been taken away.

Since the first edition appeared, there have been many changes in the culture and environment of research. The web has become universal, whereas, for example, few papers were online. There are also more subtle changes. It now seems to be rare that a spoken presentation is truly unprofessional; a decade ago many talks were unendurably awful. The growth in the use of good tools for presentations has been a key factor in this development, and the use of overhead transparencies has become archaic.

On the other hand, it now seems common that a talk does not have a clear message and is merely a compilation of clever visuals. Writing style has become less stilted, which is a change for the better, but too many authors are submitting work too early. Today, algorithms are often poorly described; a well-described algorithm has become a welcome, rare exception. The web provides easy access to literature, but perhaps the necessity of using a library imposed discipline, as, increasingly, past work appears to be neglected.

The perspectives of all scientists are shaped by the research cultures in which they work. My research has involved some theoretical studies, but the bulk of my work has been experimental. I appreciate theoretical work for its elegance, yet find it sterile when it is too detached from practical value. While experimental work can be ad hoc, it can also be deeply satisfying, with the rewards of probing the space of possible algorithms and producing technology that can be applied to the things we do in practice. My perspective on research comes from this background, as does the use of experimental work as examples in this book (an approach that is also justified by the fact that such work is generally easier to outline than is a theoretical contribution). But that doesn't mean that my opinions are simply private biases. They are—I hope!—the considered views of a scientist with experience of different kinds of research.

Many people helped with this book in one way or another. For the first edition, thanks were due in particular to Alistair Moffat, who contributed to Chapters 6, 7, 8, 9, and 12; and to Philip Dart, who also contributed to Chapter 12. I remain grateful to both Alistair and Philip for our collaborations. Additionally, I thanked Isaac Balbin, Gill Dobbie, Evan Harris, Michael Fuller, Mary and Werner Pelz, Kotagiri Ramamohanarao, Ron Sacks-Davis, Ian Shelley, James Thom, Rodney Topor, Ross Wilkinson, and Hugh Williams. I also thanked my research students and the students who participated in my research methods lectures. To all these people—thanks again.

For this edition, I thank Timothy A.H. Bell, Bodo Billerbeck, Beverley Ford, Michael Fuller (again), Paul Gruba, Lin Padgham, Jenny Wolkowicki, and the many readers who pointed out mistakes or made helpful suggestions. My children showed remarkable patience and I thank them for their forbearance. The person I thank the most is my wife, Penny Tolhurst.

Justin Zobel  
Melbourne, Australia  
February 2004

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Kinds of publication . . . . .	2
Writing, science, and skepticism . . . . .	3
Using this book . . . . .	4
Spelling and terminology . . . . .	6
<b>2 Good style</b>	<b>7</b>
Economy . . . . .	8
Tone . . . . .	9
Examples . . . . .	12
Motivation . . . . .	13
Balance . . . . .	14
Voice . . . . .	14
The upper hand . . . . .	16
Obfuscation . . . . .	16
Analogies . . . . .	17
Straw men . . . . .	18
Reference and citation . . . . .	19
Quotation . . . . .	24
Acknowledgements . . . . .	26
Grammar . . . . .	27
Beauty . . . . .	27

<b>3</b>	<b>Style specifics</b>	<b>29</b>
	Titles and headings . . . . .	30
	The opening paragraphs . . . . .	31
	Variation . . . . .	33
	Paragraphing . . . . .	33
	Ambiguity . . . . .	34
	Sentence structure . . . . .	36
	Tense . . . . .	40
	Repetition and parallelism . . . . .	40
	Emphasis . . . . .	42
	Definitions . . . . .	43
	Choice of words . . . . .	43
	Qualifiers . . . . .	45
	Misused words . . . . .	46
	Spelling conventions . . . . .	50
	Jargon . . . . .	51
	Cliché and idiom . . . . .	52
	Foreign words . . . . .	52
	Overuse of words . . . . .	53
	Padding . . . . .	54
	Plurals . . . . .	56
	Abbreviations . . . . .	57
	Acronyms . . . . .	57
	Sexism . . . . .	58
<b>4</b>	<b>Punctuation</b>	<b>59</b>
	Fonts and formatting . . . . .	59
	Stops . . . . .	60
	Commas . . . . .	61
	Colons and semicolons . . . . .	62
	Apostrophes . . . . .	63
	Exclamations . . . . .	63
	Hyphenation . . . . .	64
	Capitalization . . . . .	64
	Quotations . . . . .	65
	Parentheses . . . . .	66
	Citations . . . . .	67



<b>5</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>69</b>
	Clarity . . . . .	69
	Theorems . . . . .	71
	Readability . . . . .	72
	Notation . . . . .	74
	Ranges and sequences . . . . .	75
	Alphabets . . . . .	76
	Line breaks . . . . .	76
	Numbers . . . . .	77
	Percentages . . . . .	79
	Units of measurement . . . . .	80
<b>6</b>	<b>Graphs, figures, and tables</b>	<b>83</b>
	Graphs . . . . .	83
	Visualization of results . . . . .	93
	Diagrams . . . . .	99
	Tables . . . . .	100
	Captions and labels . . . . .	111
	Axes, labels, and headings . . . . .	111
<b>7</b>	<b>Algorithms</b>	<b>115</b>
	Presentation of algorithms . . . . .	115
	Formalisms . . . . .	117
	Level of detail . . . . .	118
	Figures . . . . .	119
	Notation . . . . .	123
	Environment of algorithms . . . . .	123
	Performance of algorithms . . . . .	124
	Asymptotic complexity . . . . .	126
<b>8</b>	<b>Editing</b>	<b>129</b>
	Consistency . . . . .	130
	Style . . . . .	130
	Proofreading . . . . .	131
	Choice of word-processor . . . . .	133
	An editing checklist . . . . .	134
<b>9</b>	<b>Writing up</b>	<b>137</b>
	The scope of a paper . . . . .	138
	Telling a story . . . . .	140

Organization . . . . .	143
The first draft . . . . .	149
From draft to submission . . . . .	150
Prepublication . . . . .	152
Theses . . . . .	153
A writing-up checklist . . . . .	155
<b>10 Doing research</b>	<b>157</b>
Beginnings . . . . .	158
Shaping a research project . . . . .	159
Students and advisors . . . . .	162
Finding research literature . . . . .	163
Reading . . . . .	165
Research planning . . . . .	167
Hypotheses . . . . .	169
Defending hypotheses . . . . .	173
Evidence . . . . .	175
Good and bad science . . . . .	177
Reflections on research . . . . .	180
A research checklist . . . . .	182
<b>11 Experimentation</b>	<b>185</b>
Designing experiments . . . . .	186
Measurements and coding . . . . .	189
Describing experiments . . . . .	191
Variables . . . . .	192
Statistics . . . . .	198
Intuition . . . . .	203
An experimentation checklist . . . . .	204
<b>12 Refereeing</b>	<b>205</b>
Responsibilities . . . . .	206
Contribution . . . . .	206
Evaluation of papers . . . . .	208
Referees' reports . . . . .	210
A refereeing checklist . . . . .	213
<b>13 Ethics</b>	<b>215</b>
Plagiarism . . . . .	217
Self-plagiarism . . . . .	219

Misrepresentation . . . . .	221
Authorship . . . . .	222
Confidentiality and conflict of interest . . . . .	223
An ethics checklist . . . . .	224
<b>14 Giving presentations</b>	<b>225</b>
Content . . . . .	226
Organization . . . . .	228
The introduction . . . . .	229
The conclusion . . . . .	230
Preparation . . . . .	230
Delivery . . . . .	231
Question time . . . . .	233
Slides . . . . .	234
Slide tools . . . . .	234
Text slides . . . . .	236
Figures . . . . .	237
A presentations checklist . . . . .	237
Examples of slides . . . . .	238
<b>Afterword</b>	<b>249</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>251</b>
<b>Exercises</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>263</b>